

2013 - 2014

SORO Neighborhood Council General Board Meeting Attendance

Board Member Names	Quarter 1 2013 - 2014				Quarter 2 2013 - 2014				Total	
	July 17 Board	July 21 Retreat	August 15 Board	September 17 Board	October 21 Board	November 12 Town Hall	November 21 Board	December 19 Board	Absences	Absences
Kevin Gres	Absent	Absent				Absent	Absent			1
Kimber Smith	Absent		Absent			Absent				1
Beth Ryan				Absent						1
Robyn Braun	Absent	Absent								0
Bette Billet				Absent						1
Michael Lynn						Absent				0
Jon Liberman										0
Ellen Lanet		Absent		Absent						1
Paula Waxman						Absent	Absent			1
Michael Bloom			Absent	Absent		Absent	Absent			3
Bethie Kohanbash/Daniel Boethin	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent		Absent	11/21/13		Absent	0
Nahed Guirguis	Absent	Absent	Absent		Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	2
David Mattis	Absent	Absent			Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	3
Brian Kite				Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	4
Barry Levine	Absent							Absent	Absent	1
Susan Burden		Absent	Absent		Absent	Absent	Absent			3
Marj Safinia	Absent	Absent								1
Terrence Gomes						Absent				0
Martin Epstein	Absent		Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent				3
Hector Garza			Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	5
Victor Mltry										0
Jessica Kurzman	x	x	x	x	11/21/13	Absent		Absent		1
Doug Fitzsimmons										0
Grant Cohen								Absent		1
Sarah Sabin	x	x	8/15/13	Absent		Absent		Absent		2
Number of Absent Members	8	8	8	7	7	15	8	7	35	
Number of Present Members	15	15	16	17	17	9	17	18	124	
Total Number of Members	23	23	24	24	24	24	25	25	168	
Percentage Attending	65%	65%	70%	74%	74%	39%	74%	78%	74%	

Appointed Date
 In jeopardy of leaving the board
 Not counted in absences or stats



Motion to extend the submission deadline for the SORO NC Community Grant program

Agenda Item: GB011614-5
Date: 16 January 2014
Proposed By: Doug Fitzsimmons

Full Proposal

In November, the Board approved the creation of an open community grant process. In the approved motion, the deadline for submissions was set for February 1.

The President of the NC (and author of this motion) said he'd post the information on the SORO NC website in a timely fashion. For various reasons, it didn't happen.

Proposed Motion

- I. To extend the deadline for submissions for the SORO NC Community Grant program to February 28, 2014
-

Considerations

Committee review: Votes For: 0 Against:
(highly recommended)

Amount previously allocated in Committee's working budget: \$
(applies to funding motions only)

Arguments for:

Pushing back the deadline is necessary if we want submissions.

Arguments against:

Pushing the deadline will make it difficult to get the winning NPGs processed in time.





Motion to demand the restoration of the Los Angeles Special Parking Revenue Fund

Agenda Item: GB011614-6
Date: 16 January 2014
Proposed By: Transportation Committee

Full Proposal

As City revenues declined during the recent recession, the City Council transferred money held in the Special Parking Revenue Fund (SPRF) to cover other City expenses. The SPRF is funded through revenues from on- and off-street parking meters, and is intended to be used for development of parking garages, among other things.

SORO NC has long felt that off-street parking alternatives within our area are essential for kick-starting economic growth and helping optimize traffic flow along Robertson.

At a joint meeting of the Transportation committees of SORO, Mar Vista, Palms and Venice NCs, the following motion was proposed.

Proposed Motion

The South Robertson Neighborhood Council maintains that the City of Los Angeles has been inappropriately raiding the SPRF (Special Parking Revenue Fund) and diverting those revenues to the General Budgetary Fund, and demands that it end this practice.

SORO NC further demands that the City Council and Mayor of Los Angeles restore all previously diverted revenue of the SPRF—and all present and future SPRF revenue—towards the purposes for which the SPRF was and is intended.

And finally, SORO NC demands that City Council and Mayor of Los Angeles direct an appropriate portion of past, present and future SPRF funds towards the communities from which they were collected.

Considerations

Committee review: Votes For: 4 Against: 0
(highly recommended)

Amount previously allocated in Committee's working budget: \$
(applies to funding motions only)

Arguments for:

Parking is a strategic tool for the development of a community.

Arguments against:

The City isn't completely out of the financial woods.

