# General Board Attendance Sheet

**Period:** July 2016–December 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7/16</th>
<th>8/16</th>
<th>9/16</th>
<th>10/16</th>
<th>11/16</th>
<th>12/16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charlie Stein</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Mosby</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Bitton</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giovanni Ferdinand</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan Warman</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Lynn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Liberman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Fitzsimmons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Rich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Bloom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Blaker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahed Guirguis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krystal Navar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aimee Zeltzer</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beth Hirsch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Kasbarian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Burden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry Levine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marj Safinia</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Burden</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Epstein</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Mitry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Fink</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Shelton</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yvette Edidin</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noa Zarur</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**X = missed meeting**

*SORO NC Bylaws, Section 7A:*

"Any Board member who misses five (5) total Governing Board Meetings during any twelve (12) month period, or attends fewer than seven (7) Committee meetings during any twelve (12) month period will be subject to removal from the Board. Any meeting of the Neighborhood Council Governing Board, Standing Committees or Ad Hoc Committees, scheduled and noticed as per the Brown Act, shall constitute a meeting for the purpose of determining Board member attendance."
Motion to support small lot project at 3129 Helms Ave
Agenda Item: GB011917-6
Date: January 19, 2017
Proposed By: LUED Committee

Background
The small lot ordinance was implemented by the City of Los Angeles in 2005 as a way of adding additional housing stock in infill lots zoned for multi-family use. Since then, the ordinance has been used to build all sizes of projects, from 2- to 200-home projects, all across the city.

This 2-home small lot subdivision project is proposed on a RD2-1 zoned, 5,400sf lot in the Helms neighborhood. The applicants and their architect presented this project to the Land Use and Economic Development committee at their November 01, 2016 meeting. The committee asked the applicants to reach out to the neighbors, adjust the side yard to meet code, and come back the following month. At the LUED meeting held December 06, 2016, the applicants returned with a corrected side yard setback and 21 signatures of support from residents within 500 feet of the project. The applicants attempted multiple times to reach the Helms Neighborhood Association for input on the project, but did not receive a response.

The Helms neighborhood, with its close proximity to the Ivy Station development at the Expo line, and its zoning for multi-family, is an appropriate location in the city for a project of this nature.

Proposed Motion
Submit a letter of support to City Planning Department case manager to be included in case file for a 2-home small lot subdivision project at 3129 Helms Ave; AA-2016-1497-PMLA-SL; ENV-2016-1498-CE.

Considerations
Committee review: (highly recommended)  Votes For: 5  Against: 0

Arguments for:
Not requesting any variances or adjustments in their application.
Builds two single-family homes on a lot zoned for two units in a walk-able, transit-adjacent neighborhood.
The homes will be 3-story in a 45-foot height limit.

Arguments against:
Homes will be taller than adjacent homes and apartment buildings.
PRELIMINARY PARCEL MAP NO. AA-2016-1497 small lot

NOTE: SMALL LOT SINGLE FAMILY SUBDIVISION IN THE RD2-1 ZONE PER ORDINANCE NO. 176,354

PROJECT ADDRESS
3129 HELMS AVENUE
LOS ANGELES, CA 90034

APN: 4312-007-004

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
LOT 126, TRACT NO. 5500
MAP BOOK 60, PAGE 42
RECORDS OF LA COUNTY

USE:
EXISTING USE: SINGLE FAMILY HOME
PROP. USE: 2 SINGLE FAMILY HOMES

10' WIDE RECIPROCAL EASEMENT FOR VEHICULAR & PEDESTRIAN ACCESS, CROSS

ZONING: RD2-1
PROPOSED ZONE: SAME
LOT AREA
GROSS AREA: 5401.2 S.F.
NET AREA: 5176.2 S.F.

NOTES:
1. NO OAK TREES ON SITE
2. NOT IN HILLSIDE OR FLOOD HAZARD AREAS

OWN & SUBDIVIDER
DISTRICT TEN, LLC
10008 NATIONAL BLVD., #292
LOS ANGELES, CA 90034

APPLICANT
DAVID ASALI
10008 NATIONAL BLVD., #292
LOS ANGELES, CA 90034

ENGINEER/REP
SAMARA ENGINEERING
9100 S. SEPULVEDA BLVD.
SUITE 115
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045
TEL (310) 322-3535
DATE: 4/10/2016

LOT 1
GROSS AREA: 2,700.1 S.F.
NET AREA: 2,475.1 S.F.
FRONT YARD: 10' 5'
N'LY SIDE YARD: 5' 5'
S'LY SIDE YARD: 10' 5'
REAR YARD: 5' 12'
BLDG. AREA: 1,960.75 S.F.
2-CAR GARAGE: 360.75 S.F.
TOL. BLDG. AREA: 2,321.5 S.F.

LOT 2
GROSS AREA: 2,701.1 S.F.
NET AREA: 2,701.1 S.F.
FRONT YARD: 10' 5'
N'LY SIDE YARD: 5' 5'
S'LY SIDE YARD: 10' 5'
REAR YARD: 5' 12'
BLDG. AREA: 2,162.5 S.F.
2-CAR GARAGE: 409.5 S.F.
TOL. BLDG. AREA: 2,572.0 S.F.
Motion to submit a Community Impact Statement in support of R1V New zoning for Crestview and North and South Beverlywood Adjacent

Agenda Item: GB011917-7
Date: January 19, 2017
Proposed By: LUED Committee

Background

The PLUM Committee and the City Council will be considering a proposed ordinance for Code Amendment to establish variations of the R1 Zone and an RG Rear Detached Garage Supplemental Use District for 15 single-family neighborhoods within the City of Los Angeles. The current Interim Control Ordinances 183,497 and 184,381 that establish neighborhood conservation areas for these neighborhoods are expiring in March. Rather than wait for the city’s re:code LA efforts to go into effect, likely, 2018, a variety of single-family zone types have been proposed to meet the needs of these individual neighborhoods.

Of these 15 neighborhoods, 3 are within the boundaries of SORO: Beverlywood, Crestview, and parts of what is referred to as Lower Council District 5. Each neighborhood is at different stages of approval. Beverlywood has already been approved for a zone called R1V New, which limits FAR to .55 for lots smaller than 6,000 square feet and decreases to .45 by intervals as the lot size increases. Building height is limited to 30 feet and the 45-degree encroachment plane begins at 22 feet. This zone does not restrict where within the building envelope the second story can be located.

Crestview within CD10 is being considered for the R1R2-RG zone, which limits FAR to .45 for lots smaller than 6,000 square feet and decreases to .35 by intervals as the lot size increases. Building height is limited to 30 feet and the 45-degree encroachment plane begins at 20 feet. Also, this “Rear-Mass” zone restricts the placement of the second story massing towards the rear of the lot. The RG portion of this zone mandates garages be detached and located at the rear of the lot. Crestview within CD5 had previously requested to be excluded from the R1R2-RG zone that is proposed for the rest of Crestview and, instead, be included in the BMO, as it was thought that the BMO would be approved with a less-restrictive FAR of .5 with full garage exemption. BMO has since been approved by City Council with a .45 FAR and partial garage exemption. With these new developments, Crestview within CD5 would like to now be included in the R1V New zone rather than the BMO. Crestview within CD10 would also like to be included in the R1V New zone. The large area called Lower Council District 5 (including North and South Beverlywood Adjacent), is being considered for the R1V2 zone, which limits FAR to .45 for lots smaller than 6,000 square feet and decreases to .35 by intervals as the lot size increases. Building height is limited to 28 feet and the 45-degree encroachment
plane begins at 20 feet. Like the R1V New zone, this zone does not restrict where within the building envelope the second story can be located. Below is a chart summarizing this information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Proposed Zone</th>
<th>FAR for lots smaller than 6,000sf</th>
<th>FAR for lots over 10,000sf</th>
<th>Building Height</th>
<th>45-degree Encroachment Plane</th>
<th>Desired Zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crestview in CD5</td>
<td>BMO</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Begins at 20 ft</td>
<td>R1V New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crestview in CD10</td>
<td>R1R2-RG</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>30 feet</td>
<td>Begins at 20 ft</td>
<td>R1V New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower CD5</td>
<td>R1V2</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>28 feet</td>
<td>Begins at 22 ft</td>
<td>R1V New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverlywood</td>
<td>R1V New</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>30 feet</td>
<td>Begins at 20 ft</td>
<td>R1V New</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Residents from these four sub-areas gave convincing and consistent testimony before the LUED committee on January 10, 2017 to express their desire to be included in the R1V New zone, just as Beverlywood has, rather than the more restrictive zones that are currently being proposed for their neighborhoods. Additionally, residents from the 1800 block of Preuss, within Crestview CD10, requested that their block be called out specifically as being recommended for the R1V New zone. The original homes on this block do not conform to the proposed R1R2-RG zone. On a hill, these homes are built with an attached front garage. The R1R2-RG zone would severely limit the ability to substantially remodel these homes.

**Proposed Motion**

Submit a Community Impact Statement (CIS) to City Council and PLUM Committee for Council File Number 16-1460 and 16-1470 to advocate for R1V New zoning for the North Beverlywood Adjacent and South Beverlywood Adjacent (within Lower Council District 5), Crestview (in CD5), and Crestview (in CD10) neighborhoods. Also, specifically request that the 1800 block of Preuss, within Crestview CD10, be R1V New.

**Considerations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee review: (highly recommended)</th>
<th>Votes For: 4</th>
<th>Against: 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arguments for:</td>
<td>Arguments against:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting the size of homes in these neighborhoods will stifle community growth and concentrate the development of larger homes in Beverlywood.</td>
<td>The public input process the city has engaged in has been lengthy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The LUED committee meeting was
advertised on Facebook and Nextdoor to solicit community input. The community input received was consistent.

SORO submitted a CIS in regards to the BMO amendment and should also have a voice in the R1 Variation Zones for its affected neighborhoods.

Although the public input process has been lengthy, new developments in what has been approved for the BMO and for Beverlywood require updated input from the communities.
**Council File:** 16-1460 & 16-1470

**Community Impact Statement**
As adopted by vote of the full SORO NC governing board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes: 0</th>
<th>No: 0</th>
<th>Abstain: 0</th>
<th>Recuse: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of vote: 19 January 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SORO NC advocates for R1V New zoning for the following neighborhoods within its boundaries: North Beverlywood, South Beverlywood (currently part of Lower Council District 5), Crestview in CD10, and Crestview in CD5 (currently excluded from the rest of Crestview). Additionally, the 1800 block of Preuss Rd should be specifically granted R1V New zoning. This block is unique and should not be included in the R1R2-RG zone currently proposed.

Residents from these four sub-areas gave consistent testimony before the SORO Land Use and Economic Development committee on January 10, 2017 to express their desire to be included in the R1V New zone, just as Beverlywood has, rather than the more restrictive zones proposed for their neighborhoods. Limiting the size of homes in these neighborhoods will stifle community growth and concentrate the development of larger homes in Beverlywood.

**Submitted by:** Doug Fitzsimmons
Motion to file a Community Impact Statement on Proposed Home-sharing ordinance

Agenda Item: GB011917-8
Date: 19 January 2017
Proposed By: Adam Rich

Background
The city council is considering a new ordinance to regulate the practice of home-sharing (e.g. via AirBnB) in Los Angeles. This ordinance does many things, but its main effects are as follows:

1. Home-sharing will now be permitted under city zoning regulations as an accessory use of a residence
2. Home-sharing will be limited to 120 days a year
3. Home-sharing will be limited to the host’s primary residence
4. Hosts must register with the city
5. Home-sharing is prohibited for apartments subject to the rent stabilization ordinance (RSO) and for units designated as affordable housing
6. Require hosts to pay a registration fee to the city
7. Renters must have permission from their landlords to share their home
8. Only one home-sharing booking is permitted at one time
9. Hosts must report the total number of nights their home was booked and the amount paid each time to the city on a monthly basis

Proposed Motion
SORO NC should file the attached community impact statement that advocates that the council pass the proposed ordinance after making several changes as follows:

1. Neighborhood Councils should be able to opt-out of home-sharing completely or to otherwise modify the regulations to increase or decrease home-sharing in their area. They could do this by changing the amount of days out of the year the home could be shared or by setting a cap on the number of home sharing permits that can be issued in their area.
2. The mayor or city council should be empowered to temporarily lift restrictions on home-sharing during a disaster or special event that causes a shortage of available hotel rooms.
3. 100% of all taxes and fees used to enforce home-sharing regulations should come from the taxes and fees generated by home-sharing.
4. A timeline should be established for the creation of registration and complaint systems. The ordinance should not take effect until these systems are fully functional.
5. The ordinance should mandate that a certain percentage of home-sharing units in the city be ADA compliant
6. There should be a sunset provision that would cause the ordinance to automatically be rescinded if enforcement ceases or if the funding for enforcement ceases.

---

### Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee review:</th>
<th>Votes For: 5</th>
<th>Against: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(highly recommended)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amount previously allocated in Committee’s working budget:** $ [Applies to funding motions only]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arguments for:</th>
<th>Arguments against:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The proposed ordinance benefits our community in several ways:</td>
<td>The proposed ordinance legalizes home sharing which could cause problems:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- It legalizes home sharing, giving our residents extra income</td>
<td>- It means more strangers in our community which can cause security concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- It puts limits on home-sharing that will prevent housing units being converted to full time home sharing units</td>
<td>- It can hurt local hotels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- It makes it easier for the city to enforce its laws and prevent home-sharing from causing problems</td>
<td>- It can change the character of the neighborhood</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amendments we are asking for will make benefit our community by:

- Allowing us to adjust the regulations for our own neighborhoods’ needs
- Allow the regulations to be flexible during emergencies to account for displaced people who may need temporary housing
- Allow the regulations to be flexible during special events when demand on hotels is high and affordable lodging is needed
- Ensure that there are sufficient funds to enforce the home-sharing rules, without using other city funds.
- Ensure that home-sharing does not start until the mechanisms to control it are in place.

The amendment we are requesting to allow NCs to modify the rules could create a complicated patchwork of rules throughout the city.

The amendment we are requesting to lift the rules during emergencies and special events may be invoked frequently causing too much home-sharing.

The amendment we are requesting to have 100% of enforcement funding come from taxes and fees may limit the amount of funding for enforcement to insufficient levels.
• Ensure that the ordinance is only in effect if it is being enforced.
• Ensure that there are ADA compliant home-sharing units available, so that the disabled can benefit from home-sharing.
Community Impact Statement on Home Sharing Ordinance

**Statement**

SORO NC Supports the passing of the proposed home sharing ordinance with a few caveats:

- Neighborhood councils should be able to adjust the ordinance to increase or decrease home-sharing to better suit their neighborhoods’ needs, including the ability to opt-out of home-sharing in their area completely.
- It should be possible to suspend restrictions on home-sharing during times when there may be a shortage of hotel rooms.
- 100% of taxes and fees collected from home-sharing should be used to pay for administering and enforcing the ordinance.
- A timeline should be established for the creation of registration and complaint systems. The ordinance should not take effect until these systems are fully functional.
- The ordinance should automatically sunset if it is not being enforced or if enforcement funding ceases.
- The ordinance should mandate that a certain percentage of home-sharing units in the city be ADA compliant.

**Letter**

Dear City Council:

On __________, the South Robertson Neighborhoods Council held a regularly scheduled, Brown Act-noticed, public meeting of the full governing board with a quorum of 00 board members present at which the board approved the following motion and directed that a Community Impact Statement be filed reflecting its position by a vote of __ yes to ___ no and __ abstentions. The South Robertson Neighborhoods Council supports the passage of the home-sharing ordinance with important caveats. Following is a list of those caveats.

Different neighborhoods have different needs when it comes to home-sharing. In some neighborhoods it causes problems, because it contributes to a lack of available housing. In other neighborhoods it is a great benefit as it helps people make extra income and alleviates a lack of hotel rooms in the area. One policy for the whole city does not make sense. Neighborhood councils should be empowered to modify the ordinance for their neighborhood in any of the following ways:

- Banning home-sharing
- Removing the 120 day limitation
- Modify the 120 day limitation to be higher or lower
• Adding a cap on the amount of permits that can be issued

Sometimes there are events (e.g. The Olympics, the Super Bowl, etc.) that take place in the city that can bring in a large influx of visitors. Other times disasters can take place that displace a lot of LA residents (e.g. earthquakes, wildfires, etc.). This can cause a shortage of affordable hotel rooms. When this happens we should use home-sharing to make up the short-fall. The city council and the mayor should be empowered to lift any and all restrictions on home-sharing for the duration of a special event or disaster.

If this ordinance is not enforced the problems that exist currently with home-sharing will persist. We therefore ask that 100% of the revenue generated by the ordinance in taxes and fees be dedicated to enforcing it.

It is also crucial that the complaint system and the registration system are in place soon and before the current ban on home-sharing is lifted. This way the enforcement mechanisms will be ready when home-sharing begins.

This ordinance will be harmful if it is not enforced. Therefore, we believe that the ordinance should contain a sunset provision. If the ordinance is not being enforced or if funding for enforcement ceases to exist, then the ordinance should be automatically rescinded.

In order to make home-sharing available to disabled guests, a percentage of home-sharing units should be ADA compliant.

In general, we feel that the home-sharing ordinance strikes a good balance between the benefits and detriments of home-sharing. It will also provide the funds needed to enforce the rules and maintain that balance.

Sincerely,

Doug Fitzsimmons President, South Robertson Neighborhoods Council
Cc: Hon. Eric Garcetti, Mayor, City of Los Angeles
LA City Council Members
Vince Bertoni, Director of Planning, Department of City Planning
Council Member Paul Koretz, Council District 5
Council President Herb Wesson, Jr., Council District 10
Shawn Bayliss, Director of Planning and Legislation, Council District 5
Faisal Alserri, Senior Planning Deputy, Council District 5
Jordan Beroukhim, Planning Deputy, Council District 10
Motion to Co-Sponsor and fund up to $355 for a FREE CHP “Child Car Seat Check Up” Event

Agenda Item: GB011917-9
Date: January 19, 2017
Proposed By: SORO NC Public Safety Committee

Full Proposal

A child's life depends on the car seat they're riding in. It's imperative to make sure it's properly installed and that it is size appropriate. More than 80 percent of car seats are critically misused.

The CHP established the Child Car Seat Check Up program in 1999, with funding provided by a grant from the California Office of Traffic Safety through the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. They seek to partner with numerous community organizations that are willing to host and sponsor a Car Seat Check Up Event, which is at no cost to the organization and open to the public (also at no cost.)

Parents and/or Guardians are encouraged to bring their children along with their car seat to a designated location and have it inspected by specially trained Child Safety Seat Technicians. The technicians will be available to properly set up and adjust the car seats for the child. In addition, they provide safety information regarding updated child-restraint laws and proper child-restraint practices.

By Sponsoring (or Hosting) this proposed Event, SORO NC would be responsible for planning and coordinating the Event logistics, promoting the Event to the community, and providing volunteers to assist at the Event. Current promotion plans include a PSA press release to media, email blast to CD 5 & 10, and Social Media postings (Facebook, Twitter, NextDoor, etc…).

Proposed Motion

1.) That the SORO NC co-sponsor a FREE CHP “Car Seat Check” event on Sunday February 26, 2017 in coordination with the CHP, CD5 and Assemblymember Bloom.

2.) That the SORO NC fund $22.86 for 3 cases of bottled water. Provide bags of snacks from existing supplies in Storage.

3.) That the SORO NC fund $181.67 for 100 SORO Flashlight Keychains, to be given away at event.

4.) That the SORO NC fund $150 for social media advertising.
## Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee review:</th>
<th>Votes For: 4</th>
<th>Against: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(highly recommended)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount previously allocated in Committee’s working budget:</th>
<th>$?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(applies to funding motions only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arguments for:</th>
<th>Arguments against:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FREE!!!! Can help protect children from injury or death.</td>
<td>Requires a few volunteers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was very successful event last 2 years</td>
<td>Success will depend on Publicity and Social Media for Event promotion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Day of Talent Supplies Motion of $130

Agenda Item: GB011917-10
Date: January 19, 2017
Proposed By: Noa Zarur and Education Committee

Background

Day of talent is a project that focuses on giving students in middle school, at Shenandoah Street Elementary School, extra curricular activities. This program exposes these children to activities, that for financial reasons, the students aren’t previously exposed to. I have gathered a group of volunteers to offer lessons in art, dance, and piano. We would like to expand this program in order to be able to offer advanced art and have the opportunity for students to pick from various instruments to learn how to play.

Music supplies:

1. 5 Recorders- $2.80 each

2. Maracas- $5.19
   a. https://www.amazon.com/Schylling-Maracas-Colors-May-Vary/dp/B000E6NQAQ/ref=redirect_mobile_desktop?_encoding=UTF8&dpID=41I94ANWcVL&dplP=1&keywords=maracas&pi=AC_SX236_SY340_FMwebp_QL65&qid=1482200680&ref=plSrch&ref_=mp_s_a_1_4&sr=8-4#nav-search-keywords

3. Tambourine -$5.19
   a. https://www.amazon.com/Darice-1177-10-7-Inch-Bell-Ring/dp/B003QMJM8D/ref=redirect_mobile_desktop?_encoding=UTF8&dpID=41UHnzagDRL&dplP=1&keywords=tambourine&pi=SX200_QL40&qid=1482200772&ref=plSrch&ref_=mp_s_a_1_2&sr=8-2

4. Triangle- $3.65
   a. https://www.amazon.com/Freedi-Musical-Instruments-Triangle-Preschool/dp/B01FF8RCYS/ref=redirect_mobile_desktop?_encoding=UTF8&keywords=triangle&qid=1482200820&ref_=mp_s_a_1_10_a_it&sr=8-10

5. Cow bell noise maker- $5.53
   a. https://www.amazon.com/Beistle-Black-Cowbell-Noisemaker/dp/B0019I3KT0/ref=redirect_mobile_desktop?_encoding=UTF8&dpID=31U3-lyQw4L&dplP=1&keywords=cowbell&pi=SY200_QL40&qid=1482200585&ref=plSrch&ref_=mp_s_a_1_2&sr=8-2

Art supplies:

City of Los Angeles Certified Neighborhood Council

South Robertson Neighborhoods Council
PO Box 35836
Los Angeles, CA 90035
P: (310) 295-9920
F: (310) 295-9906
E: info@soronc.org
soronc.org
1. Drawing pencils - $7.49
   a. https://www.amazon.com/Pro-Art-18-Piece-Sketch-Pencil/dp/B000HTBBO8/ref=sr_1_4?s=arts-crafts&ie=UTF8&qid=1482200500&sr=1-4&keywords=drawing+pencils

2. Drawing Paper - $12.23

3. Colored Pencils - $14.96

4. Construction Paper - $10.54

5. Pack of 5 scissors - $8.75

   a. https://www.amazon.com/Elmers-Purpose-School-Washable-0-24-ounce/dp/B001E69WBW/ref=sr_1_1?s=arts-crafts&ie=UTF8&qid=1482200939&sr=1-1&keywords=glue+stick

7. Pastels - $9.81
   a. https://www.amazon.com/Mungyo-Pastel-Color-Square-Chalk/dp/B010MZ9ISW/ref=sr_1_17?s=arts-crafts&ie=UTF8&qid=1482200993&sr=1-17-spons&keywords=pastels&psc=1

**Proposed Motion**

SORO NC will allocate up to $130 to purchase items listed above for use during the Shendandoah Elementary Day of Talent program.

---

**Considerations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee review:</th>
<th>Votes For: 2</th>
<th>Against: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Amount previously allocated in Committee's working budget: $0

(apply to funding motions only)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arguments for:</th>
<th>Arguments against:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We would like to further expand this project in order to be able to offer more instruments and a more enhanced art program.</td>
<td>Tight budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The volunteers have requested these supplies in order to create new programming for the students in their group.</td>
<td>The school should be paying for the supplies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Motion to fund up to $415/mo. to move to a larger storage unit.

Agenda Item: GB011917-11
Date: January 19, 2017
Proposed By: Jon Liberman

Background
At the request of the Executive Committee I looked into the need to upgrade our storage unit. We have a 10x10 foot unit at Public Storage on Burchard Ave. Our rental is $245.00 per month. The unit is near capacity with little room for more storage. The cost to upgrade to a larger unit at the same facility is approximately $286.00 per month if they have one available. This would be an additional $41.00/month. If this is not available they have a separate 5x10 foot unit available for $157.00 per month.

I have checked 3 other facilities within close proximity to Soro. The price per month ranges from $361.00 to $432/month for a 10x15 foot unit.

Proposed Motion
I. I request authority to move to a larger storage unit a cost not to exceed $415 per month for a 10x15 foot unit.

Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee review:</th>
<th>Votes For: -0-</th>
<th>Against: -0-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(highly recommended)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Amount previously allocated in Committee’s working budget: | $ n/a |
| (applies to funding motions only) | |

Arguments for: | Arguments against: |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Motion to fund up to $100 for opening reception of the NC’s Computer Literacy Program

Agenda Item: GB011917-12
Date: January 15, 2017
Proposed By: Quality of Life

Background
Quality of Life Committee in cooperation with the Robertson Branch Public Library and Microsoft has created a program of free Computer and Digital Classes for the SORO area. We will be holding a reception at the library Sunday, January 22, 2017 to announce the program.

Motion:
I. To fund up to $100 for food and refreshments for reception of approximately 50 people.

Considerations

Committee review: (highly recommended) Votes For: 0 Against:

Amount previously allocated in Committee’s working budget: $4000.

Arguments for: Arguments against:

First argument in favor. Use these points to help frame the debate.

Second argument in favor. This bottom part is created with a table in Word. It’s easier to use if you display Gridlines (under the Table menu in Word).

First argument against the motion. Try to be fair.

Another argument against. Add more rows to the table if you have more arguments pro or con.
Motion to fund up to $250 for NC Board retreat

Agenda Item: GB011917-13
Date: January 15, 2017
Proposed By: Executive Committee

Background
Executive Committee will hold its annual Board Retreat for time TBD in February 2017

Proposed Motion
I. To fund up to $250 for food and refreshments and meeting supplies.
II. Choose a date for board retreat February 12th 19th or 26th
III. Choose Location and Time: Relational Center 4-8pm or Marriot Hotel 2-6pm

Considerations
Committee review: Votes For: 0 Against:
(highly recommended)

Amount previously allocated in Committee’s working budget: $4000.
(applies to funding motions only)

Arguments for: Arguments against:
First argument in favor. Use these points to help frame the debate.
First argument against the motion. Try to be fair.
Second argument in favor. This bottom part is created with a table in Word. It’s easier to use if you display Gridlines (under the Table menu in Word).
Another argument against. Add more rows to the table if you have more arguments pro or con.